

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/078,366	Applicant(s) KEANE ET AL.	
	Examiner Joseph R. Maniwang	Art Unit 2144	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Joseph R. Maniwang.

(3) _____.

 (2) Paul Gurzo (for Applicant).

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 2/1/08
Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

31-35, 54, 55

Prior art documents discussed:


Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Applicant authorized Examiner's Amendment to incorporate SPE recommendations for further clarifying the conflict detection aspect of independent claim 31, as well as incorporate dependent claim 54 to clarify the 3rd processor's separation from the 1st and 2nd processors/networks. Applicant also clarified the language of claim 31 to explicitly recite the relationships between the 1st, 2nd, and 3rd processors/networks. Applicant further cancelled claims 35 and 55 as Applicant felt they did not further limit the parent claim after amendment.